

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 24-20327-CR-ALTMAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

IVAN GARCIA,

Defendant.

_____ /

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON CHANGE OF PLEA

This matter came before the Court following an Order of Reference to conduct a change of plea hearing for the Defendant, Ivan Garcia. ECF No. 19. The Court conducted a change of plea hearing on this date, September 17, 2024, and, for the following reasons, it is recommended that the Defendant's plea of guilty be accepted:

1. At the outset of the change of plea hearing, the Defendant was advised that this Court was conducting the change of plea hearing on an Order of Reference from the District Court. The Defendant was further advised of his right to have these proceedings conducted by Judge Altman, the District Court Judge assigned to the case. The Court additionally advised the Defendant that Judge Altman would be the judge sentencing the Defendant and would make all findings and rulings concerning the Defendant's sentence and would conduct a sentencing hearing at a time set by the District Court.

2. The Defendant was made aware that he did not have to permit the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to conduct this hearing and could request that the change of plea hearing be conducted only by a United States District Judge. The Defendant, the Defendant's attorney, and the Assistant United States Attorney appearing for the government all agreed on the

record and consented to this Court conducting the change of plea hearing.

3. The Court conducted a plea colloquy in accordance with Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

4. The parties entered into an oral plea agreement, which they disclosed in open court. The Court reviewed the terms of that oral agreement, in which the Defendant agreed to plead guilty to Count 1 of the indictment, and the government agreed to dismiss Count 2 of the indictment at sentencing. The Defendant acknowledged the terms of the oral agreement, and he pled guilty to the crime of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 5 kilograms or more cocaine of cocaine while on board a vessel subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, in violation of Title 46, United States Code, Sections 70506(b) and 70506(a), and Title 21, United States Code, Section 960(b)(1)(B)(ii), as charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

5. The parties also agreed to a written factual proffer, and that document was with them at the hearing and was later filed with the Court. ECF No. 32. The Defendant said that he had read that factual proffer and agreed that it was accurate, and he confirmed that he signed the document. The government stated on the record a factual basis for the entry of the plea that included all the essential elements of the offense to which the Defendant is pleading guilty and any sentencing enhancements and/or aggravating factors that may be applicable. The Court reviewed the government's factual proffer with the Defendant to assure that a factual basis existed for the entry of the plea. The Defendant acknowledged his commission of the offense and confirmed the accuracy of the factual proffer. The Court also advised the Defendant of the possible maximum penalties, and the Defendant acknowledged that he understood these possible penalties and the consequences of his guilty plea, including the potential immigration and removal consequences.

6. Based upon all the foregoing and the plea colloquy conducted by this Court, this Court found that the Defendant was competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that he

freely, knowingly, and voluntarily entered his guilty plea, and that the plea was supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. It is therefore recommended that the Defendant be found to have freely and voluntarily entered his guilty plea as more particularly described herein and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty of the offense charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

7. A pre-sentence investigation report is being prepared. The parties were also informed that Judge Altman will set the sentencing hearing by separate Order.

ACCORDINGLY, this Court **RECOMMENDS** that the Defendant's plea of guilty be accepted; that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty as to the offense charged in Count 1 of the indictment, to which he has entered his plea of guilty; and that a sentencing hearing be conducted for final disposition of this matter.

As discussed with the parties at the change of plea hearing, the parties shall have **three (3) day, that is, until Friday, September 20, 2024**, within which to file objections, if any, to this Report and Recommendation with the Honorable Roy K. Altman, United States District Judge. If a party chooses not to file objections, that party **MUST** file a Notice of Non-objection to the Report and Recommendation on Change of Plea within the deadline set forth above. Failure to file timely objections waives a party's right to review issues related to the Defendant's plea under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 before the District Judge or the Court of Appeals (even under a plain error standard). *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(1), (2); 11th Cir. R. 3-1; 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Lewis*, 492 F.3d 1219, 1222 (11th Cir. 2007) (en banc).

RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDED in Miami, Florida, this 17th day of September 2024.


EDUARDO I. SANCHEZ
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: Hon. Roy K. Altman
Counsel of record